Case 1:12-cr-00529-LAP Document 235 Filed 04/25/13 Page 1 of 12

D48TBITC UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 12 CR 529 (LAP) V. 5 RAYMOND BITAR, 6 Defendant. -----x 7 8 New York, N.Y. April 8, 2013 3:30 p.m. 9 10 Before: 11 HON. LORETTA A. PRESKA, 12 District Judge 13 14 **APPEARANCES** 15 PREET BHARARA United States Attorney for the 16 Southern District of New York NIKETH VELAMOOR 17 ARLO DEVLIN-BROWN Assistant United States Attorneys 18 PAUL, WEISS, RIKIN, WHARTON & GARRISON 19 Attorneys for Defendant JOHN BAUGHMAN 20 ROBERTO FINZI STEVEN JACOBS 21 22 23 24 25

1 (In open court, case called) THE COURT: Good afternoon. 2 3 How would you like to proceed, counsel? MR. VELAMOOR: Your Honor, we're here because we 4 5 reached the terms of a disposition. It is a very unusual 6 disposition because of the defendant's extraordinary medical 7 situation, including his imminent need of a heart transplant, his pending efforts to get a heart transplant, as well as the 8 9 consultations we have had with the Bureau of Prisons. As a 10 result, it's a very unusual plea agreement, and it's also 11 expected to be an unusual plea. 12 As we understand it -- and Mr. Baughman can address 13 this in more detail -- we don't think the defendant would be 14 following his doctor's advice in coming to the Court for a 15 plea. We have a suggestion for the Court with respect to that that perhaps Mr. Baughman should address. 16 17 THE COURT: Sir. 18 MR. BAUGHMAN: Your Honor, may I approach? I have to 19 make an application to you and I need to give your Honor an 20 evidentiary basis for it. 21 THE COURT: Yes. 22 MR. BAUGHMAN: Handing up to the Court a binder with 23 seven exhibits in it. 24 The application I'm going to make, your Honor, is that

we schedule a date for a plea, and that we have expedited

25

sentencing, and that we waive the preparation of a presentence report. We would hope to be able to get the sentencing done at the time of the plea, in all events prior to May 6.

So let me explain. As your Honor is aware, the defendant, Mr. Bitar, has been suffering from very severe heart condition, and I have given you a binder that has his medical records in it. And if I could direct your Honor's attention to tab 5. I have put a red flag on the appropriate page. If your Honor would look at the first paragraph of this page, this is a doctor's note written by a doctor — your Honor can read his name in the paper — who is the head transplant surgeon at the hospital involved.

And he writes in here, under the heading Assessment and Plan, Mr. Bitar spends much of his time as an NYHA class four heart failure patient. Parenthetically, I've been advised the scale goes one through four, and four is the worst. While he is able to ambulate with some limitation, he is a class 3B patient. In this condition, Mr. Bitar has a mortality of 50 percent in six months to one year. In other words, he has a 50 percent chance of being dead within this calendar year.

The document goes on to describe his treatment options. They are essentially a heart transplant or the implantation of a device which would be in effect an artificial heart. His prospects for sort of getting better without this type of surgery are very slim.

This presents a very unique set of circumstances to us, to the government, because if your Honor would go to the next two tabs in the binder, Exhibit 6 and Exhibit 7, these are reports prepared by an expert that we retained. He's a doctor who has participated in several hundred heart transplants. He used to run a heart transplant center. And he describes the process by which one becomes approved for a heart transplant. And if you look at the second paragraph of Exhibit 6, he states, based on his experience, the prospect of a future prison sentence makes it extremely unlikely that your client would be put on the heart transplant list. The prospect of going to prison is incompatible with the type of medical care required before and after a heart transplant.

So this medical condition that emerged was first diagnosed in November. We have been monitoring it. We have been in consultation with the doctors. And we have had very extensive, lengthy discussions with the United States Attorney's Office, where they have very humanely and professionally recognized the unique circumstances that we face.

So we have reached the agreement which is tab 1 in the binder. It's been now executed by Mr. Bitar, by myself, by the government, all the parties. And if I could direct your attention to page 9 of the plea agreement, I will read into the record sort of the key sentence. In the middle of the

paragraph it says based on the defendant's extremely unusual medical condition, in particular his imminent need for a heart transplant procedure, and pending efforts to be selected as a recipient for a heart transplant, and given the assessment of the Bureau of Prisons concerning its ability to provide appropriate medical care to the defendant based on his present medical condition, the government will not oppose a request by the defendant for expedited sentencing and the imposition of an expedited sentence that does not require a term of imprisonment, provided the defendant strictly complies with all of the terms and conditions of this agreement.

Now obviously it is also recognized and worth pointing out on the record that if you turn to page 11 it says the parties understand that this agreement reflects the unique facts of this case and is not intended as precedent in other cases.

So that is the application that I am standing before you to make today, your Honor, specifically the one in here, that we schedule an expedited sentencing proceeding. Your Honor will obviously review the materials. Mr. Bitar is pleading guilty to two felonies. He is suffering — there are certainly consequences to him for pleading guilty to those felonies. He is forfeiting a very substantial amount of property, very close to everything that could be said that he ever obtained through any improper behavior. He's agreeing to

a forfeiture judgment of \$40 million. He's forfeiting his home and forfeiting a lot of property.

So if your Honor agrees, we would like to try to get this on the schedule before — as soon as possible, because May 6 is the day that he has his appointment to go before the heart transplant board to see whether or not he can have this procedure, which we hope that he can.

Mr. Velamoor indicated that, if your Honor is amenable to proceeding in this fashion, we have discussed with him a number of procedures as to how to do it, but I stop at the moment to see if your Honor has any questions.

THE COURT: Is it your intention that he plea by video?

MR. BAUGHMAN: We have discussed that. The government and I have a slight difference of opinion on this. I believe that it would be lawful for your Honor to take the plea by video. I know your courtroom is set up for it. I have had discussions with the Central District of California to see how it could be arranged. I think Mr. Velamoor is of the view that an interim step is required that we invoke a judicial officer in the courtroom in California while this is taking place. I'm not going to cause a fight about this, I just want to do something that the Court is comfortable with.

MR. VELAMOOR: Yes, your Honor, we have looked at the video possibility. We have done some research on that. Our

concern is that where it has actually been litigated it has been found to be insufficient because in a sense it's been found to violate the rule. And this is true irrespective of whether the defendant consents or waives his right to be physically present.

THE COURT: Violates which part of the rule?

MR. VELAMOOR: It violates Rule 11 in particular

concerning the Court's ability to personally discuss with the defendant his rights and evaluate his competency and other abilities to plead guilty. We also think it's inconsistent with Rule 43 which focuses on the defendant's need to be physically present for certain proceedings. It's also --

THE COURT: So your thought is that there would be a video hook-up, but a judge sitting in California?

MR. VELAMOOR: Our proposal is under Title 28, United States Code, Section 636, in an emergency situation — it's subparagraph F, as in frank, if the chief judges of both districts concur, then your Honor could request the assistance of a magistrate in another district for a specific function. In this case, it would be to take the defendant's allocution for his guilty plea, also for his waiver of indictment, because it is superseding information. Then our proposal would be that your Honor receive a report and recommendation. If you find that the plea is sufficient, you could accept that plea and then move forward and sentence the defendant at that point.

We think that's better. It is certainly more consistent with the law. It's true there appear to be some cases in which a plea by video has been happened.

THE COURT: Indeed from England.

MR. VELAMOOR: It's been discussed as having happened in the procedural history, but where the issues have been litigated in the cases that we found, it's been found to be insufficient where the cases have actually been challenged and contested. And that's true even where the defendant has waived his right.

So we have some concerns about the guilty plea. We think the sentencing we have somewhat less concerns. We think if the Court would sentence the defendant by video conference that would probably not be a concern from our perspective.

THE COURT: I'm sure the reporter didn't hear the last five words.

MR. VELAMOOR: I think --

THE COURT: If the Court were to sentence.

MR. VELAMOOR: To sentence the defendant by video, I don't think we would have the same level of concern we would for the guilty plea allocution. Our major concern is with respect to the guilty plea.

THE COURT: Anything you want to add, Mr. Baughman?

MR. BAUGHMAN: Just briefly. Mr. Velamoor is correct.

I found four instances in the recorded cases where it has been

done in the Second Circuit. And it's commonly done in the Northern District with Judge McAvoy, who sort of has the right circuit, and this is an example of an order entered one of his cases where video pleas were taken. So I think it's within the Court's discretion to do it by video. I think it would be simpler. And, of course, we would obviously waive and Mr. Bitar would waive on the record any right to be present. But we leave it to your Honor's good judgment.

THE COURT: All right. Certainly subject to reading the materials you put in front of me, I have no objection to it.

Mr. Velamoor, if you would send me whatever you have by way of authority as to how this should be done, I will confer with the chief judge out there and get it set up so that it can be done promptly.

Do you want -- I guess it depends on the availability out there, but we could certainly do all this stuff as soon as I read this, there's someone available in California, we get the transcript, and I could sentence next week, assuming availability.

MR. BAUGHMAN: Your Honor, whenever you can do it is fine.

May I have one second, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

(Pause)

MR. BAUGHMAN: Would your Honor -- do you think it would be possible to do it all in one day? Your Honor could observe the plea if it was done before the magistrate judge in California.

THE COURT: I could do that, too.

MR. BAUGHMAN: We could do it all in a couple of hours.

THE COURT: OK. Is that all right with the government?

MR. VELAMOOR: Yeah, I don't think we have any objection to the specific manner.

THE COURT: OK. Let's do this, why don't we set as a control date April 19th at noon. I assume we will be able to get this done earlier than that.

Again, Mr. Velamoor, when can you have a little memo for me to send to the chief judge in Central?

MR. VELAMOOR: I think we should be able to send something to the Court tomorrow by the end of the day.

THE COURT: I will send that out as soon as I get it and we will confer about scheduling. And I'll read this overnight and then we'll be ready to go.

Then as soon as I hear from the judge or the magistrate judge in California, we will be in touch with you about the actual time in California and the time here for you to appear. We'll just keep this control date in place for the

1 moment. 2 MR. BAUGHMAN: That's fine, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Anything else you want? 4 MR. BAUGHMAN: No. MR. VELAMOOR: Your Honor, just since the plea has not 5 6 yet been entered, we ask to excludes the time between today and 7 the control date of April 19. 8 MR. BAUGHMAN: No objection. 9 THE COURT: In order to permit counsel to continue to 10 discuss disposition, time between today and April 19 is 11 excluded from calculation under the Speedy Trial Act in the 12 interest of justice. 13 MR. DEVLIN-BROWN: One moment, your Honor? 14 (Pause) 15 MR. BAUGHMAN: Mr. Devlin-Brown has very graciously reminded me, your Honor, I am submitting to you the exhibits 16 17 for your Honor's consideration, but when it comes time for 18 filing them, the medical records, Exhibits 2 through 7, if you could file those under seal, I don't think there's any reason 19 20 for the specific details --21 THE COURT: That make sense. Right, counsel? 22 MR. VELAMOOR: Yes, Judge. 23 THE COURT: So ordered.

THE COURT: Where are you on the plea agreement?

Thank you.

MR. BAUGHMAN:

24

25

MR. BAUGHMAN: It's done. 1 2 THE COURT: I mean on the public release of --3 MR. BAUGHMAN: Well, under Rule 11, your Honor, I 4 think the plea agreement has to be publicly disclosed. And we discussed with the government that we're not -- we accept that. 5 THE COURT: You will take care of that. 6 7 Anything else today? MR. BAUGHMAN: As Mr. Finzi said, I don't think we 8 should file it until the day of the plea. 9 10 THE COURT: All right. 11 MR. BAUGHMAN: Once we actually have agreed on the 12 procedure of doing it. 13 THE COURT: OK. And what we'll do is as soon as we 14 have ourselves a time and a date, we will put it up on ECF so 15 it's available. 16 MR. BAUGHMAN: Fair enough. 17 THE COURT: Anything else? 18 MR. VELAMOOR: Nothing from us, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. Good afternoon. 20 000 21 22 23 24 25